Exhibit 90

Page 1

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES, INC. SECURITIES DERIVATIVE, AND ERISA

| Master File No. | 08 M.D.L. 1963 (RWS)

BRUCE S. SHERMAN,

LITIGATION

| ECF Case

| Index No.

Plaintiff,

| 09 Civ. 8161 (RWS)

v.

BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES INC., JAMES CAYNE, WARREN SPECTOR and DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP,

Defendants.

CONFIDENTIAL

Videotaped deposition of PAUL FRIEDMAN, taken pursuant to Notice, was held at the Law Offices of BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP, 575 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York, commencing December 5, 2014, 9:41 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York.

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES
1200 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10026
(866) 624-6221



	Page 174		Page 176
1	P. Friedman - CONFIDENTIAL	1	P. Friedman - CONFIDENTIAL
2	Q In describing your years at Bear, did you	2	Q Thank you very much.
3	ever make this statement: "It was a classic case	3	Did you ever have any discussions with
4	of mismanagement from the top"?	4	anyone about how Bear's trading desk was used to
5	A I believe I did make that statement.	5	keep track of what Cioffi was doing in the funds?
6	Q Okay. What were you referring to in that	6	A I don't think I used the phrase "keep
7	instance?	7	track of."
8	A At the time that I said it, again, this	8	
9	was after Bear had failed and before the events of		
10		9 10	A I think I had a conversation with Cohan
1	the summer of 2008, when a number of other firms	ŝ	about how there was an advantage in having smart
11	failed, I felt it was Bear Stearns' fault in some	11	mortgage people like existed on the Bear Stearns
12	way, shape, or form, for allowing the events in	12	mortgage desk talking to and dealing with Ralph
13	the marketplace to put us out of business.	13	Cioffi.
14	But I changed that view subsequently, when	14	Q And in part, that gave gave Bear the
15	I watched Lehman go out of business, when I watched	15	opportunity to see and know what he was doing,
16	Merrill and Morgan Stanley virtually go out of	16	correct?
17	business, when I watched Fannie and Freddie and AIG	17	A No. It gave an opportunity to see a
18	go out of business, and firms that were much larger	18	relatively small portion of what he was doing, but
19	and much stronger and much more financially sound,	19	to have a sense of what he was doing.
20	with multiples of the capital, either go out of	20	Q Okay. And do you recall telling Cohan
21	business or nearly go out of business.	21	that the folks on the trading desk, the people
22	I ended up with a different view of of	22	that were trading with the funds, and as a result,
23	blame. But at the time, I felt that it was our	23	got a view as to what they were doing, at least as
24	fault.	24	to those trades, this gave them an opportunity to
25	Q Okay. And is it fair to say that now you	25	keep Warren advised?
	Page 175		Page 177
1	P. Friedman - CONFIDENTIAL	1	P. Friedman - CONFIDENTIAL
2	think Bear was well managed, correct?	2	A Again, in a broad sense, it created a
3	A Reasonably.	3	dialogue with Ralph which created a certain level
4	Q And that there's nothing that Bear could	4	of knowledge of some of what he was doing.
5	have done to alleviate the liquidity or mismatch	5	Q Okay. And you also correct me if I'm
6	issues that caused it to go out of business,	6	wrong made the statement that when the trading
7	correct?	7	was put on a moratorium because of the inability
8	MR. HERMAN: Objection.	8	of the Cioffi funds to comply with regulatory
9	MR. HURWITZ: Objection to the form.	9	requirements involving principal trading letters,
10	Q Go ahead.	10	that for a period of time, that that
11	A I don't believe that anything we could	11	observation capability was lost, correct?
12	have done short of selling the firm would have	12	A Again, that's a long question. So, yes,
13	made a difference, given the events of the summer	13	when the moratorium was placed on the trading
14	and fall of 2008.	14	desks, activities with the BSAM funds, they did
15	Q Was there something that that made	15	not have they went from having a small amount
16	selling the firm a non-option, in your view?	16	of insight into the funds into having essentially
17	A I'm not sure what that means.	17	no insight.
18	Q Was there something that prevented Bear	18	Q And then the insight came back when the
19	from selling the firm prior to failing?	19	moratorium was lis lifted in 2007, correct?
20	A I don't know. I wasn't I don't know.	20	A I don't remember it being lifted.
21	It wasn't my job to explore it, so I don't know.	21	Q Do you know whether it was or wasn't?
22	Q Do you know if anyone did explore it?	22	A I don't know.
23	A I don't know.	23	Q Let me let me discuss another statement
24	Q Can I can look for a second?	24	that you've made. And this is in the context of
25	A (Handing.)	25	the billion-6 repo, okay?